GPB—15,000- 20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-1899

~~191~~ .

(Muzkai)

File No. 82

Serial Nos. 1—13

Papers Filed.

Month November 1905

SUBJECT.

File relating to the orders passed regarding the Construction of a new Masjid at Nagamangala Town, and also sanctions a Contribution of Rs. from Govt. towards the Construction of the above Masjid

OUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

~~190~~ 1898

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

82-98
File No. 2-1-1

SUBJECT.

Soliciting sanction to the payment of Rs 100/- towards the Masjid newly constructed at Nagamangala

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Letter No. 240, Dated 24th October 1904

On the 12th December 1891, the Mahomedan residents of Nagamangala petitioned to the Dewan at Camp Nagamangala. applying for permission to build a masjid at the place five days thereafter, the Sub Division Officer Mr Mahomed Ali inspected the site on which the masjid was proposed to be constructed and directed the Amildar of the Nagamangala Taluk to obtain a mahazar from the ~~members of the~~ Hindu community residing at the place through the Municipal members, stating that

Flag Z

Flag Y

as deposed before him
~~of~~ they had ~~any~~ no objection to the grant of the Mussalman's prayer The latter Officer accordingly issued notices to them to appear before him, but only three or four of them did so, but they too went away saying that they would communicate their opinion the next day and never came back. The Sub Division Officer thereupon directed the Amildar to issue orders sanctioning the construction of the Masjid after obtaining a Mutchalika from the influential Mussalmans binding them never to raise objection to any Hindu processions parading with music in front of the Masjid. After some correspondence, such a mutchalika (*) was obtained, drawn up in the proper manner under date the 3rd June 1892, and the same was submitted by the Sub Division Officer Meanwhile, a Mahazar was received from the Hindu residents of Nagamangala to the address of the Deputy Commissioner, and the latter Officer Mr A Rangaswami Iyengar wrote to the Sub Division Officer on the 9th August 1892, that it was not advisable to grant

.x. Amildar's report dated 13.5.1892

⊗ on the 29th may 1892. Hag X

(*) Hag

§ Hag W

† Hag V

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

permission to construct the Masjid on the site in question as it would give rise to unnecessary misunderstanding between the Hindus and the Mahomedans, and that it was preferrable to assign a separate unobjectionable site for the purpose. No orders were, however, issued by the Sub Division Officer to put a stop to the further progress of the building. The matter was lost sight of until September 1899, when Rao Bahadur Dr C. Madiah (then Deputy Commissioner) inspected the Masjid and observed that it was highly irregular on the part of the Sub Division Officer to have allowed the Masjid to be completed in the face of the Deputy Commissioner's order, but considering that the Mussalmans would be put to considerable loss if at that state of the building it were ordered to be pulled down, it would be enough if as desired by the members of the Municipal

(x) on the 5th September 1899. Flag C

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

allowance for the up-keep of the institution.
The building was a spacious one, and
Rs 5,500 were said to have been spent upon it.

Subd. It was also found that, in accordance
Dt 2/3/05 with Rao Bahr Mr. Madhava's orders,
only one doorway (towards the east)
has been opened in the Masjid.

The grant of Rs 100/-
promised by Rao Bahr Mr. Srinivasa Charlu
may, it is urged, be sanctioned from
the State grant of the current
official year. The amount, though
small, is asked for by the Mahomedan
community to show that the Govt
have recognized their institution.

As regards the monthly
allowance asked for for the main-
-tenance of the institution,
I regret to say that there are
no funds forthcoming from
which

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. • of 190

which such an allowance can be sanctioned. The D'Yoni^r too has made no recommendation regarding such an allowance. The Petrs may be informed that nothing can be done in this behalf.

Subd to the Dewan through the 2nd Asst } V Ramakrishna Rao 25/3/05

294
25.3.05

When I inspected the Mungid mi/99 the work was almost complete. To prevent any breaking the fence all the entrances except the eastern one were ordered to be closed; in fact the order was promptly carried out by Subbaraya Reddy Rayamangalam Renter of Dr.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

MGCPB—3.500—15-10-01

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

forbidding the construction of the Mosque on the present site was not given effect to by the Asstt. Dy Commr Mr Mahomed Ali. It was then left to take any action in the matter in 1899 when the building was almost completed.

The grant promised by the late Mysore Govt may be sanctioned.

28/3/05

28/3/05

5. Hereon submit the grant is asked for as the contrary. Respectfully submit that the Govt may kindly be pleased to direct what steps may be taken with regard to the said mosque which is now in a dilapidated condition.

No building can be erected on the Corporation land within 33 ft. from the centre of the road. The Municipality has therefore a right to demand the removal of the portion of the building which encroaches on the road. This is now a religious question and Government should not interfere in any way in the matter.

Recd 3.4.05.

31/3/05

28/3/05

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04

Serial
No.

Owing to the pressure
made by the Sub-Magis-
trate I was under the
impression that the
Grant-Aust actually
recognized the construction
of the Magistrate in spite
of no orders appearing
of record [illegible] proper authorities; or
else the question would
have been referred before
Grant-for orders. The
most important point
then pending was about
the doorway which
required immediate
attention to prevent
disturbances. It was
disposed of. Magis-
trate pressure was to
grant-Rowe towards the
building after the work
was completed. Nothing
was the Mahomedans pray
that the pressure then
made may be withdrawn

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

If it is a matter for consideration whether the proceedings could be referred to without a breach of the promise made by an officer, whose name does not appear, and was virtually the head of the Dept. of the Crown Solicitor, attorneys should defer to his opinion.

7/4/05

Read
7/4/05 Re-submitted to the Deputy 8/4/05

5-8-4/05.
8-4-05. Mr. Medcalf stated my reasons fully in my last note. As Mr. Medcalf is fully acquainted with local conditions I shall be content to leave the final disposal in his hands.

4.5.05

Letter from Dept

Office 6353

Please put up a draft for date to the 2nd Inst.

7/5/05

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

Subd to the 2nd Councillor

Please see the Dewan's remarks on the preceding page. As the circumstances under which the Mahpd came into existence are of a peculiar nature, I have put up a draft prescribing certain restrictions which, I think, will prevent future troubles. The draft Order will be issued if it receives your approval.

Chandradamu Rao
17/5/05

Rec'd 20.5.05.

Draft is approved.

25/5/05

As the Order is one of importance I beg to submit it for the Dewan's approval also—

Chandradamu Rao
25/5/05

677/26.V.05

Draft appd by the Dewan
Issue & print

30/5/05

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note continued.

As per above orders, Govt Pro: No. 4511-9, dated 1st July June 1905 were issued directing that only one door way towards the east should be in existence in the masjid at Nagamangala, that before the sanctioned contribution amount of Rs 100/- is paid, the Musalmans of Nagamangala should be called upon to fix a tablet in a conspicuous place in the masjid showing the year of its construction, and also declining to sanction the monthly allowance asked for for the maintenance of the Institution

The Deputy Commissioner now reports that a tablet has been fixed as directed, and that Rs 100/- ordered to be paid to the masjid were paid to one Gous Sab, son of Madar Sab, as desired by the Musalman community on the 27th September/

In his letter No. 233 dt 31.10.1905

14/11.11.05 Subd with a draft. Issued 11/11/05

File No. of 190

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

SC1

No. 574 Sheet.

ನಿಮ್ಮ ದಿನಾಂಕ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಮೇರೆಗೆ, ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರವು

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯು ಈ ಪತ್ರವು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ಅಂಚೆ ಮೂಲಕ

ಅಂಚೆ ಮೂಲಕ ಪತ್ರವು ನಿಮಗೆ ತಲುಪುವುದು.

ಇತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

14/12/98

ಇಂತಿ

S.L.C.

1899-900

From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Muzrai Dept.

Dated
Despatched
Received } November 1899.

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Forwarding Roobkari Soliciting orders in the case of the Musalmans of Nagamangla praying for a grant to their new Musjid



ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ——

ಕಿಶ್ಚಿಕ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಕಿಶ್ಚಿಕ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ——
ದಿನಾಂಕ ——

ಸಹಿ/-
Municipal Commissioner
Mysore District

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದಾಗ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಕಟ್ಟಡವು ಸುಮಾರು 3-4 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಬಳಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕಟ್ಟಡವು ಶಿಥಿಲಾವಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದು ಕಟ್ಟಡದ ಮೇಲ್ಛಾವಣಿಯ ಹೆಂಚುಗಳು ಒಡೆದು ಹೋಗಿದ್ದು ಮಳೆಯ ನೀರು ಕಟ್ಟಡದ ಒಳಗೆ ಸೋರುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಕಟ್ಟಡದ ಗೋಡೆಗಳು ಸಹ ಶಿಥಿಲಾವಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದು ಕಟ್ಟಡವು ಯಾವುದೇ ಕ್ಷಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಸಿದು ಬೀಳುವ ಸಂಭವವಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಹೊಸ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಲು ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಹೊಸ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.

To

SL 3

A. Srinivasa Charlu Esqur

Inyari Secretary

Bangalore

Most Respected Sir.

I beg to Submit herewith the Petition of the Mahumaden Population of Nagamangal. Praying for the Payment of Rupees 100 donation towards the Construction of their jumma Musjid at Nagamangala for favourable Consideration.

On first inspection of Nagamangala I you were pleased to inspect their Musjid which was then under construction and promise a donation of Rupees 100 on completion of the building and on Receipt of a Report from me.

They have completed the building and Under taken an extension of the front Verandah. If they are now helped with the said donation, it will be a great ~~boon~~ boon them in completing the front Verandah

I beg to Remain

Sir

Your Most obedt Servt

C. Narasimha

Superviser

No 2 Sub Divn

Nagamangala

Dated 15th Oct 79

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
28/9/99 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

MUZRAI

189

From

To

Dated 18th Decr 1899

Despatched

Received

General No. 627

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. VP.

by the Dr? for the erection of the Masjid -.

2. A very early reply is requested

3. Encl. as per ref.

B.O

M/

Mun-Secy

His Rubkari No. 30 of 3rd Nov 99 recommending the grant of Rs 100 from Muzrai funds towards the Construction of a Masjid at Nagamangala

Asst Commr

to enquire (1) whether
the Municipality
in the above
is an Rd. Insn.
~~which is being simply~~
simply under
Repair or renovation
or ~~a new~~ an
entirely new Insn
which had not
existed there before
and (2) if a new
Insn., whether,
~~is~~ as req'd in the
late Chief Com'rs
Proc No 2528-72
of 22nd Aug 1871,
~~the~~ Sanction
has been accorded.

1899-1900. 816

From The Dy Commissioner of the Mysore Dist.

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Mugrai Dept

Dated 11th April 1900.

Despatched 12th

Received

General No. 736

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 4.4.1900.
REG. No. 720
MUZRAI BRANCH

Report
11/4/00

In reply to his docket No 627 dated the 18th December 1899, I have the honor to state that the Masjid in question at Nagamangala is a new building. The Section of the Deputy Commissioner for

its erection, as required in the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings Nr. 2828-72 dated the 22d. August 1871, has not been obtained.

2. The Vernacular records on the subject ending with the French Rocks Sub Division Officer's Yadgir No 1421 dated the 29th March 1900 is herewith sent for reference.

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

No. [illegible]

76-1

Sl 7

No 778 in one file
76-1

[illegible]

[illegible] (100) [illegible] grant [illegible]

[illegible] 1899 [illegible]

[illegible] 3 [illegible] 30/511 [illegible]

[illegible] 1900 [illegible]

[illegible] 17 [illegible] 136/720 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1871 [illegible]

ನಂ. ಪಿ 22 ಬಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2528-72 ಬೆಂ ದಿ. ಸಂ. ಇಂ ಶಾಖೆ

ಪ್ರತಿ

ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ವಿಭಾಗ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಕೆಂಗೇರಿ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಕೆಂಗೇರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. ೪೦ ರಲ್ಲಿ ೨ ಎಕರೆ ೨೦ ಗುಂಟೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಕೆಂಗೇರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆಂಪೇಗೌಡ ಪ್ರೌಢಶಾಲೆಯ ಆಟದ ಮೈದಾನಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪಿ 22 ಬಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2528-72 ಬೆಂ ದಿ. ಸಂ. ಇಂ ಶಾಖೆ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೆಂಗೇರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. ೪೦ ರಲ್ಲಿ ೨ ಎಕರೆ ೨೦ ಗುಂಟೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಕೆಂಗೇರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆಂಪೇಗೌಡ ಪ್ರೌಢಶಾಲೆಯ ಆಟದ ಮೈದಾನಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪಿ 22 ಬಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2528-72 ಬೆಂ ದಿ. ಸಂ. ಇಂ ಶಾಖೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೦/೪/೧೯೭೨ ರಂದು ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಆದರೆ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಶಾಲಾ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಶಾಲಾ ಆಟದ ಮೈದಾನಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರು ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಶಾಲಾ ಆಟದ ಮೈದಾನಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಸಹಿ/-

ಉಪ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

20/4/1900

ಪ್ರತಿ

ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ವಿಭಾಗ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು



S18

1904-05

From M. Kantaraj Urs Esq BA
Commissioner
Mysore district

To L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.
Secretary to Govt
Imgrn Depts

Dated 7th September 1904
Despatched
Received
General No. 85
No.

Enclosure No. One bill

Soliciting sanction to the payment of Rs 100/ to the Maulavies for Constructing the Masjid at Nagamangala

9/9/04
Ref. please

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Muzrai Department
Dated Mysore 7th September 1904

To.

C. Anantasami Rao Esq: B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, in the Muzrai Department.

Sir,

Adverting to your Rubakari No. 778 76-1 dated 30th April 1900 enquiring whether the permission of the District Magistrate had been obtained for the construction of the Mosque at Nagamangala, I have the honor to State that in order No 358 dated 27th August 5th Sept. 1899 that Mr. Rao Bahadur C. Madiah the then Deputy Commissioner after inspecting the Masjid had instructed the Amildar to get all the entrances in the several directions closed with the exception of that in the East as it would cost the Mahomedans very heavily should the building be pulled down since they had not previously obtained the Sanction of the District Magistrate. This amounts to tacitly permitting the Mahomedans to have the building there by the

Magistrate.

The Divisional Officer recommends that the building grant of Rupees 100/- promised in your Roobkari No. 514 dated 14th December 1896 may be granted.

I request that Government may be pleased to sanction the same.

Dy. Offg No 15 of 19th August '64.

The entire correspondence on the subject is herewith submitted for reference and ~~~ obliging return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

[illegible],
Deputy Commissioner.
Impome District.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

819

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
~~Deputy~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commr.,
Mysore D.t

Dated 10 th inst. } October 1904.
Despatched ~~12~~ 14 th inst. }

Received

General No. 1426
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1716.

His letter no. 85, dated 7th September 1904, soliciting sanction to the payment of a ~~share~~ contribution of Rs 100/- towards the Masjid newly constructed at Nagamangala.

—

From the records received with the above, it is not, ~~however~~, understood if the entrances to the Masjid on the southern and western sides of it have been actually closed up or not as per order

of Rai Bahadur M. C. Madiah,
the then Deputy Commissioner
of the District, the second
letter issued to the Amildar
of Nagamangala on the 5th
November 1899, by Rai Bahadur
M. C. Madiah, the then Deputy
Commissioner of the District.
The Sheristadar reports that
only the northern entrance
of the Masjid has been walled up.

2 In these circs., has the
honor to request to be informed
if the entrances on the other two sides
of the buildings, viz on the
south and west, have also been
closed up.

3. Enclosures to his letter
under reply are returned herewith
send for refee and re-submission
together with the infn called for above.

By Order,

5/10/04

Secy, Muz: Deptt

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1599
MUZRAI

1904-05-SR 10

~~169~~

From M. Kantaraj Urs Esq BA
Dy Commissioner
Mysore district

To L. Anantasami Rao Esq
Secretary to Govt
Mysore Department.

Dated 24th October 1904

Despatched

Received

General No. 140
No.

Enclosure No. 1 & 2

Reporting on the
Masjid at Nafanagala.

25 papers
W

Submitted

This may be
returned for personal
inspection

15/11/04

15/11/04

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore district
Muzrai department.
Dated Mysore 24th October 1904.

To.
L. Anantasami Rao Esq BA
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Muzrai department.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No. 1624 dated 10th October 1904 requesting to be informed if the entrances on the other two sides of the masjid newly constructed at Nagamangala have also been closed up, I have the honour to draw your kind reference to the Divisional officer's Yadi No. 15 dated 19th August 1904 already on the file reporting that with the exception of the eastern entrance all the rest has been closed up and that he has inspected the same.

2. The entire correspondence on the subject is herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
C. Ranganadha Rao
Dy Commissioner
Mysore Dist



Abt as per order

Mysore Sl 11

Read :-

Correspondence ending with letter no 140 dated 24th October 1904 from the Asst Commr, Mysore District, reporting on the construction of a new masjid at Nagammanpalya.

Order :-

~~The facts of the case are as follows :-~~

In December 1891 the Mahammadans residents of Nagammanpalya town having applied for permission to build a new masjid

Print Ill.
30/5/05

Ll
31.5.05

4

in the Court, the then
Sub. Division Officer
the late
of French Rocks, Mr
K.
Muhammad Ali, inspected
the site and issued
orders to the Builder
to permit the construction
of the new masjid after
obtaining a muchelika
from the influential
musalmans, binding
themselves never to raise any
objection to Hindu processions
parading with music in
front of the masjid. A
muchelika was accordingly
obtained from them on
the 3rd June 1892 and
they were permitted by the
Builder to proceed with
the construction work

Immediately after the
Commission was issued
granted, the Hindu
residents of Nagamangal
took up a memorial
to the Dy Commr, pro-
-testing against the
construction and there-
-upon, Head Officer
(one A. Ramaswamy Iyer
would to the Sub-Div
Officer on the 9th Aug. 1892
that it was not
advisable to grant Per-
-mission to construct
the masjid on the site
in question, as it would
give rise to unnecessary
misunderstanding
between the Hindus
and

and the Mahammadans
and that it was
impossible to assign a
separate unobjectionable
site for the purpose -
But this order was
not given effect to by
the Sub-Division Officer
and the musulmans went-
on with the construction of the building.
In 1895 when the late
Municipal Superintendent Rai Bahadur
Mr Srinivasa Charlu
visited Nagercoil,
he promised to give a contribution
of Rs 100 from Govt for the musjid
after the construction
was completed. In
1899 the Hon Dy Comrs
Rao Bahadur Mr Modaiah
inspected the musjid
and

and ~~otherwise~~ formed
that his predecessor's
orders forbidding
the construction of
the mosque on the
site under dispute,
had not been given
effect to by the Turk-
ish Officer Mr Mahmoud
Ali; and that it was then
~~too~~ late to take any action
in this respect, as the
building had been
almost completed. With-
a view however, to prevent
~~the~~ the occurrence of a possible
~~recurrence~~ breach of the peace
on any future occasion, he directed that all
the entrances, except-
the eastern one should
be closed, and this has
accordingly -

(6)

keen

done - The musalmans

now pray for the payment

of promised contribution

of Rs 100 from Government

and for some monthly

allowance for the upkeep

of the Institution. The

Dy Comr ~~(one Kantoonj~~

~~Hoon)~~ supports the prayer,

so far as the contribution

is concerned -

2. The Govt request-

to find fund, in spite

of the Dy Comr's orders

in 1892 not to give permission

to construct the masjid

on the site under dispute,

the then Sub. Dn Offr,

Mr. K. Mohammad Ali

(since deceased) did

not take prompt action

and the building was
~~subsequently~~ finished
contrary to orders.
Rao Bahadur ~~Mr~~
Madiah, ~~when~~
Dy Comr ~~(Jaina Committee)~~
was obliged to act
under a sort of com-
-pulsion not to subject
the builders to loss
and to minimise the
chances of quarrel.
Under ~~that~~ these circumstances
the Govt are of opinion that
the Institution does
not deserve any Commission
at the hands of Govt,
~~But~~ but, as the ~~Treasurer~~ former
managing Supt had
promised a contri-
-bution of Rs 100 to
~~Govt~~

(8) the Masjid ~~Agent~~, the Government are pleased to sanction the payment of that amount from the State Funds of the ensuing ~~Financial~~ Official Year

3. The Dy Comm's attention is drawn to the remarks contained in Govt Pro no 914 orig H 58-92 Slip A dated 6th June 1893, relative to the construction of a new Musjid at Channapatna, and he is requested to issue strict orders that only one door way (towards the East) should always be in existence in the masjid at Nagamangala, ~~is opened~~ and that all other entrances should be kept completely closed and ~~not~~ not opened ~~at~~ at any time.

4. Under the terms of the late Chief Comm's Circular no 2528-72 dated

⊗

The terms of this order are quoted at page 2 of the printed

⊗

dated 22nd August 1871, the Mahammadan community at Mangalore claim the privilege of stoppage of music performed by other Communities in front of the mosque in question and they have already exercised a particular (in 1892) binding themselves in that behalf. To prevent possible disputes in future years, the

the musalmans of
any community should,
before the Constitution
amount now sanctioned
is paid to them, be
called upon to fix
a tablet in a conspicuous
place in the masjid,
showing the year
of its construction.

5. The action
taken should be
reported for the
information of
Government.

6. As regards
the municipality

monthly allowance
asked for for the
maintenance of the
institution, the Govt-
regret ~~to say~~ that-
no such grant is
possible. The petitioners
may be informed by
the Dy Comr accordingly.

Secretary
Municipal Dept

16/5/05

To

The Dy Comr Nagpur
Sd returning the
records -

The Complete to Govt
The Dy Comrs of other
Dists for information

Govt-

Rough copy of
municipalities
of 1892.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 140, dated 24th October 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting on the construction of a new Masjid at Nagamangala.

No. 4511-19, DATED BANGALORE, 1ST JUNE 1905.

ORDER THEREON.—In December 1891 the Mahomedan residents of Nagamangala town having applied for permission to build a new Masjid in the Fort, the then Sub-Division Officer of French Rocks, the late Mr. K. Mahomed Ali, inspected the site and issued orders to the Amildar to permit the construction of the new Masjid after obtaining a mutchalika from the influential Musalmans, binding themselves never to raise any objection to Hindu processions parading with music in front of the Masjid. A mutchalika was accordingly obtained from them on the 3rd June 1892 and they were permitted by the Amildar to proceed with the construction. Immediately after the permission was so granted, the Hindu residents of Nagamangala sent up a mahazar to the Deputy Commissioner, protesting against the construction, and thereupon that officer (Mr. A. Rangasamiengar) wrote to the Sub-Division Officer on the 9th August 1892 that it was not advisable to grant permission to construct the Masjid on the site in question, as it would give rise to unnecessary misunderstanding between the Hindus and the Mahomedans, and that it was preferable to assign a separate unobjectionable site for the purpose. But this order was not given effect to by the Sub-Division Officer, and the Musalmans went on with the construction of the building. In 1895, when the late Muzrai Superintendent, Rai Bahadur Mr. A. Sreenivasacharlu, visited Nagamangala, he promised to give a contribution of Rs. 100 from Government for the Masjid, after the construction was completed. In 1899, the then Deputy Commissioner, Rao Bahadur Mr. C. Madiah, inspected the Masjid and found that his predecessor's orders forbidding the construction of the Masjid on the site under dispute, had not been given effect to by the Sub-Division Officer, Mr. Mahomed Ali, and that it was then too late to take any action in this respect, as the building had been almost completed. With a view, however, to prevent the occurrence of a possible breach of the peace on any future occasion, he directed that *all* the entrances, except the eastern one, should be closed, and this has accordingly been done. The Musalmans now pray for the payment of the promised contribution of Rs. 100 from Government and for some monthly allowance for the up-keep of the Institution. The Deputy Commissioner supports the prayer, so far as the contribution is concerned.

2. The Government regret to find that, in spite of the Deputy Commissioner's orders in 1892 not to give permission to construct the Masjid on the site under dispute, the then Sub-Division Officer, Mr. K. Mahomed Ali, since deceased, did not take prompt action, and the building was consequently finished contrary to orders. Rao Bahadur Mr. C. Madiah, when Deputy Commissioner, was obliged to act under a sort of compulsion not to subject the builders to loss, and to minimise the chances of quarrel. Under these circumstances the Government are of opinion that the Institution does not deserve any concession at the hands of Government, but, as the former Muzrai Superintendent had promised a contribution of Rs. 100 to the Masjid, the Government are pleased to sanction the payment of that amount from the State Grant of the ensuing official year.

3. The Deputy Commissioner's attention is drawn to the remarks contained in Government Proceedings No. 914—Muz. F. 58-92 dated 6th June 1893, relative to the construction of a new Masjid at Channapatna, and he is requested to issue strict orders that only one doorway, towards the east, should always be in existence in the Masjid at Nagamangala, and that all other entrances should be kept completely closed and not opened at any time.

Muzrai, dated 1st June 1905.

4. Under the terms of the late Chief Commissioner's Circular No. 2528-72, dated 22nd August 1871, the Mahomedan community at Nagamangala cannot claim the privilege of stoppage of music paraded by other communities in front of the Masjid in question, and they have already executed a mutchalika (in 1892) binding themselves in that behalf. To prevent possible disputes in future years, the Musalmans of Nagamangala should, before the contribution amount now sanctioned is paid to them, be called upon to fix a tablet in a conspicuous place in the Masjid, showing the year of its construction.

5. The action taken should be reported for the information of the Government.

6. As regards the monthly allowance asked for for the maintenance of the Institution, the Government regret that no such grant is possible. The petitioners may be informed by the Deputy Commissioner accordingly.

Draft:-

Secy., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning the records.
The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts for information.

Exd.—C.V.

5.

1/12

q Bla
bett

5.
—

le

seul
gala
- in
lace
by
maigala

1904-05

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 1st June 1905.

No. 45119

Passing orders regarding the Masjid newly constructed at Nagamangala.

[illegible] & approval ?

Gen. 31]

~~189~~ 1905-1906.

Sl 12

2020
7.11.05

Rupees
Ks
4/11/05

cont'd & subt'd with a draft

H. 11.11.05

From
H. H. Sparkes Esqr:
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district

To
L. Anantasawmi Rao Esqr B.A.
Secy: to Govt Mung: Dept.

Dated 31st October 1905.

Despatched 1-11-05

Received

General No. 233
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Reports that the Mussul-mans of Nagamangala have fixed a tablet in a conspicuous place of the masjid newly constructed at Nagamangala

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

No. 233 of 1905-06 Dated Mysore, 31st October 1905

To

L. Anantharama Row Esqr. B.A.
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, Revenue department
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 4511-19 dated 18th June 1905 passing orders regarding the Masjid recently encroached at Nagamangala, and calling for a report on the question I have the honor to state that the Assistant Commissioner, Krishnarajpet divisions reports that the Mussalmans of Nagamangala have fixed a tablet in a conspicuous place of the Masjid, that Rs. 100/- ordered to be given

[illegible] [illegible] approval?

was paid to Gous Sab, son of
Madar Sab, as desired by the Muzzalman
community on 27th September 1905, and
that the petitioners have been informed
by means of an endorsement that
Government regret that the monthly
allowance asked for could not be
granted.

The file of Vernacular records
ending with the Krishnarajpet Division
Assistant Commissioner's yadi No 21/307
dated 12-10-1905 accompanies for
reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

[signature]
for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

CPS
BG.

(Dft for approval)

Mysore

Sl 13

Read:-

Letter No. 233, dated 31st October 1905, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting with ref^e to Govt. P.O: No. 4511-9, dated 1st June 1905, that the Musalman residents of Nagamangala have fixed a tablet in a conspicuous place of the Masjid at the sanctioned place, and that the sum of Rs 100/- was paid to one Gous Sab, son of Madar Sab, as desired by the Musalman community on the 27th September 1905.

— " —

Order thereon

Recorded.

11/11/05

To Muz. Secretary.

The Deputy Commr. Mysore Dt. with reference to his letter dated 11.11.05

13.11.05
rec. 14th.